

**Evaluation Criteria for Experimental Development Projects
(PED 2016)**

Criterion 1: Project objectives and scope (30%)

To what extent:

- Is the project scope clearly presented with explicit description of the demonstration model (product, technology, method, system or service) to be developed and tested/ validated?
- Are the preliminary (already available) results innovative and relevant in relation to the national and international state of the art?
- Are the project objectives correlated with the outcome of the project? Is the project feasible?
- Is the TRL level clearly argued at beginning of the project? Is the TRL level reached after project implementation well determined on the TRL scale?

Criterion 2: Presentation of the technology / product concept or of the existing lab product (40%)

To what extent:

- Are the preliminary results (e.g. theoretical developments, numerical simulations, experimental results) available prior the project application well-grounded with explicit indication of publications, patents and research projects that led to the basic concept of the project?
- Do experienced researchers and postdoctoral researchers nominated in the project have a high level expertise in the field of the project? Is the expertise well-correlated with their contribution in the project?

Criterion 3: Project implementation (30%)

To what extent:

- Are the proposed activities and deliverables well structured?
- Is the budget and timetable of the project well justified (resources / time / results)?
- Is the research infrastructure useful/innovative (used in order to implement the project)?
- Is each team member's role and team project partner well described (if applicable)?
- Is the impact and dissemination of project results well described? Are intellectual property rights well shared?
- Are the risks associated with project implementation activities identified and the ways to treating them well described?